

MATH3102 MATHEMATICAL LOGIC 2/ MATH5103M ADVANCED LOGIC
Problems 6

1) Let \mathcal{T} be a computably axiomatisable first-order theory.

(i) Show that $S \leq_m T_{\mathcal{T}}$ where

$$S = \{n \mid \text{Form}(n) \ \& \ \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} \neg gn^{-1}(n)\}.$$

(ii) Deduce that S is c.e.

(iii) Show that if \mathcal{T} is complete then

$$\overline{T_{\mathcal{T}}} = S \cup \{m \mid \neg \text{Form}(m)\},$$

and hence that \mathcal{T} is decidable.

(iv) Show that Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem for PA can be deduced from the fact that PA is undecidable.

2) Show that there is no computably axiomatisable first-order theory \mathcal{T} whose theorems are exactly the true statements of arithmetic (in the language of PA).

3) Show that if \mathcal{T} is a computably axiomatisable theory, then $T_{\mathcal{T}}$ is semi-representable in PA.

Deduce that $T_{\mathcal{T}} \leq_m T_{\text{PA}}$.

4) Define $S \equiv_m S' \Leftrightarrow_{\text{defn}} S \leq_m S'$ and $S' \leq_m S$.

Show that \equiv_m is an equivalence relation (where the equivalence classes are called *many-one degrees*).

Show that the set of all recursive sets (other than \emptyset and \mathbb{N}) forms a many-one degree (called $\mathbf{0}_m$).

5) Let \mathcal{T} be a computably axiomatisable (ω -consistent) theory in which all the recursive functions are representable.

Show that

$$T_{\text{PA}} \leq_m T_{\mathcal{T}} \text{ and } T_{\mathcal{T}} \leq_m T_{\text{PA}},$$

and hence that T_{PA} and $T_{\mathcal{T}}$ occupy the same many-one degree.

Calling this degree $\mathbf{0}'_m$, show that $\mathbf{0}_m \neq \mathbf{0}'_m$.

6) Show that there is no axiomatisable first-order theory \mathcal{T} whose theorems are the wfs of PA which are *either* logically valid *or* whose negations are provable in PA.

7) Show that if \mathcal{T} is a first-order theory, all of whose theorems in the language of PA are true in the standard model \mathbf{N} of PA, then \mathcal{T} has a finite extension in which all the recursive functions are representable.

Deduce that \mathcal{T} is undecidable.

[**Careful** : There are two cases depending on whether \mathcal{T} is computably axiomatisable or not.]

8) We say a first order theory \mathcal{T} is *strongly undecidable* iff \mathcal{T} is finitely axiomatisable and every theory \mathcal{T}' in the language of \mathcal{T} that is consistent with \mathcal{T} (that is, such that $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}'$ is consistent) is undecidable.

Show that RR is strongly undecidable.

HAND IN SOLUTIONS TO **TWO** QUESTIONS.