

Please let me know of any errors, omissions or obscurities!

Riemann–Lebesgue lemma for Fourier series. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous and 2π -periodic, then $\hat{f}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Uniqueness theorem. If f, g are continuous and 2π -periodic with $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{g}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f = g$.

Approximation (1). For any $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous and 2π -periodic and $\epsilon > 0$ there is a trigonometric polynomial p such that $|f(x) - p(x)| < \epsilon$ for all x . (The trigonometric polynomials are *dense* in the continuous periodic functions.)

Approximation (2). For any continuous $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is a polynomial q (in the usual sense) such that $|f(x) - q(x)| < \epsilon$ on $[a, b]$. (The *Weierstrass approximation theorem*: the polynomials are dense in the continuous functions on $[a, b]$.)

Complex inner product notation. For f, g , Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ define $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$ and $\|f\|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx$. Then the inner product is linear in the first argument, conjugate-symmetric, and conjugate-linear in the second argument. Write $e_n(x) = e^{inx}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\langle f, e_n \rangle = \hat{f}(n)$, and $s_k(f) = \sum_{n=-k}^k \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n$.

Mean square convergence. For $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous we have $\|f - s_k(f)\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Properties of the (e_n) . (i) $\left\| \sum_{n=-k}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=-k}^k |c_n|^2$.

(ii) $\left\| f - \sum_{n=-k}^k \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n \right\|^2 = \|f\|^2 - \sum_{n=-k}^k |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2$.

Bessel's inequality. $\sum_{n=-k}^k |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx$, so if f is square-integrable then $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty$.

Best approximation. For any scalars (c_n) , we have

$\left\| f - \sum_{n=-k}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 = \left\| f - \sum_{n=-k}^k \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n \right\|^2 + \sum_{n=-k}^k |c_n - \langle f, e_n \rangle|^2$. Thus taking $c_n = \langle f, e_n \rangle$ minimizes the mean-square error.

Parseval's identity. For f continuous, 2π -periodic, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx$.

Absolutely convergent Fourier series. Parseval implies a stronger result, namely, every f that is C^1 has an a.c.F.s.

Gibbs phenomenon. For the sawtooth function $f(x) = x$ on $(-\pi, \pi]$, $(s_k f)(\pi - \pi/k) \rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx \approx 1.18\pi$.

Du Bois Reymond theorem. There is a continuous 2π -periodic function such that $s_k f$ does not converge at 0, indeed the sequence of values is unbounded. Note that $(s_k f)(0) = f * D_k(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_k(t) dt$, and $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_k(x)| dx \geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \ln k$.

Fourier transforms. Assume always that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx < \infty$, and that f is Riemann integrable over every finite interval, implying that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx$ converges. Define $\hat{f}(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-iw x} dx$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}$.

Important examples. If $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$, then $\hat{f}(w) = \sqrt{\pi} e^{-w^2/4}$. If $f(x) = \chi_{[-1,1]}(x)$, then

$$\hat{f}(w) = 2 \operatorname{sinc} w = \begin{cases} \frac{2 \sin w}{w} & \text{if } w \neq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } w = 0. \end{cases}$$

Linearity. $(af + bg)^\wedge(w) = a\hat{f}(w) + b\hat{g}(w)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Translations and dilations. Let $(T_a f)(x) = f(x - a)$ and $(D_b f)(x) = f(x/b)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > 0$. Then $(T_a f)^\wedge(w) = e^{-iaw} \hat{f}(w)$ and $(D_b f)^\wedge(w) = b\hat{f}(bw)$.

So for $f(x) = e^{-x^2/c}$ with $c > 0$ we get $\hat{f}(w) = \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{c} e^{-cw^2/4}$.

Properties of \hat{f} . We have $|\hat{f}(w)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx$. Also \hat{f} is continuous.

Riemann–Lebesgue lemma for transforms: $\hat{f}(w) \rightarrow 0$ as $|w| \rightarrow \infty$.

Transform of derivatives. If f is C^1 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'| < \infty$, then $(f')^\wedge(w) = iw\hat{f}(w)$. Thus there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|\hat{f}(w)| \leq C/|w|$.

Inverse Fourier transform. $\check{g}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(w) e^{iwx} dw = \frac{\hat{g}(-x)}{2\pi}$.

Convolution. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| < \infty$ and g is bounded, let $(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x - t) dt$.

Kernels. Let $K_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, nonnegative, with total integral 1 and s.t. $\exists C > 0$ with $K_1(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + x^2}$ for all x . Example $K_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2}$. Define

$K_m(x) = mK_1(mx)$. Then $K_m * f \rightarrow f$ pointwise and $\hat{K}_m(w) \rightarrow 1$ for all w .

Fourier's inversion theorem. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}| < \infty$, then $f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(w) e^{iwx} dw$, i.e., $(\hat{f})^\vee = f$.

Uniqueness theorem. Take f, g continuous, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|, \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g| < \infty$. If $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ then $f = g$.

Transforms of convolutions. If g bounded, then $(f * g)^\wedge = \hat{f}\hat{g}$.

Plancherel's theorem. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 < \infty$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(w)|^2 dw.$$

Schwartz class \mathcal{S} . This is the class of all infinitely differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that every derivative is rapidly decreasing: for all n, k , there is $C_{n,k} > 0$ such that $|f^{(n)}(x)| \leq \frac{C_{n,k}}{(1 + |x|)^k}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Example: e^{-ax^2} , $a > 0$.

Now if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x||f(x)| dx < \infty$, we have \hat{f} differentiable, and

$(\hat{f})'(w) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ix f(x) e^{-ixw} dx$. This is used to show that the Fourier transform is a linear bijection from \mathcal{S} to itself.

Dirac delta function. Define $\delta_a(f) = f(a)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$; $\delta = \delta_0$ is a *distribution*, a linear mapping from \mathcal{S} to \mathbb{C} , and its n th derivative $\delta^{(n)}$ can be interpreted as $\delta^{(n)}(f) = (-1)^n f^{(n)}(0)$. In a precise sense, the Fourier transform of $\delta^{(n)}$ is $(iw)^n$; the transform of δ is identically 1.

The Whittaker–Kotel'nikov–Shannon sampling theorem. Suppose that \hat{f} is C^1 and vanishes outside $[-T, T]$. Let δ satisfy $0 < \delta < \pi/T$. If $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 with $\hat{\phi} = 1$ on $[-T, T]$, 0 outside $[-\pi/\delta, \pi/\delta]$, then $f(x) = \delta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\delta) \phi(x - n\delta)$.

Fourier transforms in \mathbb{R}^n . $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}$. *Inversion theorem:* $f(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) e^{i\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{w}$, if f is continuous and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}|$ are both finite.

Partial derivatives: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}$ has transform $iw_k \hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$, and so the Laplacian $\nabla^2 f = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k^2}$

has transform $-\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$; hence there are applications to solving PDEs.