Linear theory of compressible convection in rapidly rotating spherical shells, using the anelastic approximation
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The onset of compressible convection in rapidly rotating spherical shells is studied in the anelastic approximation. An asymptotic theory valid at low Ekman number is developed and compared with numerical solutions of the full equations. Compressibility is measured by the number of density scale heights in the shell. In the Boussinesq problem, the location of the onset of convection is close to the tangent cylinder when there is no internal heating, only a heat flux emerging from below. Compressibility strongly affects this result. With only a few scale heights or more of density present, the convection onsets near the outer shell. Compressibility also strongly affects the frequencies and preferred azimuthal wavenumbers at onset. Compressible convection, like Boussinesq convection, shows strong spiralling in the equatorial plane at low Prandtl number. We also explore how higher order linear modes penetrate inside the tangent cylinder at higher Rayleigh numbers, and compare modes both symmetric and antisymmetric about the equator.

1. Introduction

Convection occurs in many stars and planets and is ultimately responsible for generating their winds and magnetic fields. The rotation rate of most of these objects is much faster than their diffusive time-scales, even if these are enhanced by turbulence. Consequently, rapidly rotating convection in spherical shell geometry is a key problem in astrophysical and planetary fluid dynamics. In most applications, the convection is in
the strongly nonlinear regime, rather than close to onset as assumed here. Nevertheless, an understanding of the linear problem is an essential pre-requisite to understanding the very complex behaviour found in the nonlinear regime. At a very basic level, comparison with a well established linear theory is an important validation for the sophisticated non-linear CFD codes used to develop insight into rotating convecting fluids. Many features observed in such simulations can be understood in terms of linear results, and linear theory allows a reasonably complete coverage of the large parameter space. Fully three-dimensional high resolution simulations (e.g. Heimpel et al. 2005; Miesch et al. 2000) are too computationally expensive to allow coverage of the multi-dimensional parameter space, so the linear theory provides an invaluable guide as to the most suitable areas for nonlinear exploration.

The Boussinesq theory of the onset of rapidly rotating convection is now fairly well understood, but the rapidly rotating compressible case has received much less attention. The compressible problem is however more relevant to the problem of rapidly rotating convection in stars and planets, because the convection occurs over many scale heights of density in all stars and in giant planets (Guillot, 1999a, b).

The asymptotic theory of the onset of rapidly rotating convection in a Boussinesq sphere was developed by Roberts (1968) and Busse (1970). These papers established the local theory of convection. However, although this local theory has many points of contact with experiments and numerical calculations, and forms a useful simple picture of rotating convection, it became clear (e.g. Zhang, 1992) that the predicted critical Rayleigh numbers were incorrect except at very large Prandtl number. This problem was resolved with the development of the global theory of convection by Jones et al. 2000 and Dormy et al. 2004. In this paper we extend this global asymptotic theory to the compressible case. Busse & Hood (1982) and Zhang (1992) also established the spiralling nature of rotating convection, that is in the equatorial section the columnar structures spiral in a prograde direction, i.e. in cylindrical coordinates \((s, \phi, z)\) in the positive \(\phi\) direction as \(s\) increases. This spiralling is important in driving strong zonal flows from the Reynolds stresses (e.g. Jones et al., 2003; Rotvig & Jones, 2006). If there is no spiralling, any zonal flow has to be in the form of thermal or magnetic winds.

In seminal papers on rotating compressible convection, Gilman & Glatzmaier (1981), Glatzmaier & Gilman (1981a, 1981b) showed that compressibility made the convection occur preferentially nearer the outer boundary. Their model differed in some respects from
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ours, but nevertheless we also find this to be a strong effect, as did Drew et al. 1995. Drew et al. also found the surprising result that convection could occur for negative Ra in some parameter regimes. Here we show that this cannot be the case if entropy diffusion dominates over thermal diffusion, as is likely to be the case in most astrophysical applications.

The structure of the paper is the following. First we formulate the problem, noting some differences between our model and some previous models. We then establish rigorously that for our current model instability is not possible at negative Rayleigh number, in distinction to the previous model of Drew et al. Next we develop the asymptotic theory as the Ekman number $E \to 0$ for compressible convection. At fixed compressibility and moderate Prandtl number the convection takes the form of tall thin columns, as does Boussinesq convection. Then we discuss the results from a numerical eigenvalue code for the full equations, comparing them with the results of our asymptotic theory. Further we study some of the higher Ra modes, i.e. beyond the lowest mode, which form the high latitudinal structures. This sheds some light onto how convection penetrates inside the tangent cylinder as the Rayleigh number is increased.

2. Governing equations

The geometry of the problem is a spherical shell lying between $r = r_i$ and $r = r_o$, where $r_o - r_i = d$. The radius ratio $r_i/r_o = \eta$. We consider a polytropic basic state of the atmosphere with radial gravity, the effective mass $M$ being entirely within the shell so that gravity $g$ falls off as $1/r^2$ within the shell.

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{GM\rho}{r^2}, \quad \frac{p}{p_0} = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{2.1a, b}$$

where $p_0$ and $\rho_0$ are the reference values of pressure and density at the midpoint $r = (r_i + r_o)/2$ in the layer and $n$ is the polytropic index. We nondimensionalize on the length unit $d$, so that from now on $r$ is the dimensionless radial coordinate. Assuming the perfect gas law, the solution of these equations is then written in the form (Gilman & Glatzmaier, 1981)

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left(\frac{GM\rho_0}{r p_0 (n+1)d} + c_0\right)^n = \rho_0 \zeta^n, \quad \zeta = \frac{c_1}{r} + c_0, \quad c_1 = \frac{GM\rho_0}{p_0 (n+1)d}, \tag{2.2a, b, c}$$

$$p = p_0 \zeta^{n+1}, \quad T = T_0 \zeta, \tag{2.2d, e}$$
being the temperature and $T_0$ its value at the midpoint. We suppose the polytrope has
$N_\rho$ density scale heights, that is $\rho(r_i)/\rho(r_o) = \exp N_\rho$, leading to
\[
\zeta_o = \frac{\eta + 1}{\eta \exp(N_\rho/n) + 1}, \quad c_0 = \frac{2\zeta_o - \eta - 1}{1 - \eta}, \quad c_1 = \frac{(1 + \eta)(1 - \zeta_o)}{(1 - \eta)^2}, \quad (2.3a, b, c)
\]
$\zeta_o$ being the value of $\zeta$ at $r = r_o$, showing that the dimensionless polytrope is completely
determined once $n, \eta, N_\rho$ are specified. Note that the dimensionless $r$ satisfies
\[
r_i = \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta} < r < r_o = \frac{1}{1 - \eta}. \quad (2.4)
\]
At the midpoint of the layer, where $\rho, p$ and $T$ have their reference values, $\zeta = 1$.
$N_\rho$ measures the departure from the Boussinesq case, which is the limit $N_\rho \to 0$, see
Appendix A. In the case $N_\rho = 5$, which we use below to illustrate a strongly compressible
case, the density ratio between the inner and outer boundaries is about 150.

The equations are formulated in terms of entropy, which for a perfect gas is given by
\[
S = c_p \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \ln p - \ln \rho \right), \quad (2.5)
\]
where $c_p$ is the specific heat at constant pressure, and $\gamma$ is the ratio of the specific
heats $c_p/c_v$. In the anelastic approximation, we assume the convection is driven by small
disturbances $p_c$ and $\rho_c$ to the reference state pressure and density $p(r)$ and $\rho(r)$ (small
meaning $p_c \ll p$ and $\rho_c \ll \rho$). The corresponding entropy perturbation is
\[
S_c = \frac{c_p}{\gamma} \left( \frac{p_c}{\rho} - \frac{\gamma \rho_c}{\rho} \right). \quad (2.6)
\]
Lantz (1992) and Braginsky & Roberts (1995) independently discovered that when the
basic reference state is close to an adiabatic state, the nonlinear momentum equation can
be written
\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{u} \times \omega - 2\Omega \times \mathbf{u} - \nabla \left( \frac{p_c}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 \right) + \nu \mathbf{F}_v - g \frac{S_c}{c_p}. \quad (2.7)
\]
Here $\mathbf{u}$ is the velocity, $\Omega$ the angular rotation vector, and $\omega$ the vorticity.
\[
\mathbf{F}_v = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rho \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{2}{3\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \rho \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j}. \quad (2.8)
\]
This form of the viscous force corresponds to a constant kinematic viscosity $\nu$ (Batchelor,
1967, p. 164 and p. 175). In many applications, the viscosity will be a turbulent viscosity,
whose precise form is uncertain, but the present form has the advantage of simplicity. An
alternative, not explored here, would be to choose constant dynamic viscosity, $\mu = \rho \nu$.

The significant difference between equation (2.7) and the more general compressible
equation of motion (see e.g. Chandrasekhar, 1961, equation 18) is that use has been made
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of the relation

\[-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p_c + \frac{g p_c}{\rho} = -\nabla \left( \frac{p_c}{\rho} \right) - g \frac{S_c}{c_p} + \frac{p_c}{\rho} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma p} \frac{dp}{dr} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right\} \hat{r} \approx -\nabla \left( \frac{p_c}{\rho} \right) - g \frac{S_c}{c_p}. \] (2.9)

For a fuller discussion, see section (4.2) of Braginsky & Roberts (1995), in particular their equation (4.19). If \( \gamma = 1 + 1/n \), using (2.2a) and (2.2d) the term in the curly braces is zero, and provided \( \gamma \) is close to this value and any departure of \( p \) and \( \rho \) from the exact polytrope is small, this approximation is valid. The great advantage of this representation is that when the curl and double curl of (2.7) are taken, the only thermodynamic convective variable left is the entropy, so we avoid having to solve a separate Poisson equation for the pressure perturbation (see e.g. Clune et al. 1999), which would be required to evaluate \( \rho_c \). Since it is often the case that convection in planets and stars leads to a reference state that is close to adiabatic, (2.9) is a useful approximation. Note that if the layer were an exact polytrope with \( \gamma = 1 + 1/n \), the entropy drop across the layer would be zero and no convection would occur, so there must be small departures of \( p \) and \( \rho \) from the exact polytropic values which give rise to a small but finite entropy drop \( \Delta S \) across the layer which is the same order of magnitude as \( S_c \).

The continuity equation has the anelastic form (e.g. Gough, 1966)

\[ \nabla \cdot \rho u = 0. \] (2.10)

To derive the entropy equation, we start with the dimensional equation of heat transfer in the absence of external heat sources (Landau & Lifshitz, 1959, equation (49.4)),

\[ \rho T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) S \right) = \nabla \cdot \rho c_p \kappa_{m} \nabla T + \rho \nu Q, \] (2.11)

where \( \kappa_{m} \) is the thermal diffusivity due to molecular processes (thermal conductivity and radiative conductivity), and

\[ Q = 2 \left[ e_{ij} e_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} (\nabla \cdot u)^2 \right], \quad e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right). \] (2.12)

is the viscous heating. The linearised form of this equation was used in the previous studies of linear compressible convection, Glatzmaier & Gilman (1981a) and Drew et al. (1995). However, in planets and stars the turbulence will give rise to a diffusion of entropy which will normally be much larger than the molecular conductivity term. Furthermore, in compressible flow, turbulent elements preserve their entropy, not their temperature, when the conductivity is small. Prandtl’s mixing length ideas suggest that turbulent elements will move a certain distance and then release their entropy content into their surroundings. This suggests that the turbulent entropy flux is proportional
to the entropy gradient, not the temperature gradient. While in Boussinesq convection, eddy thermal diffusion can take a similar form to the molecular thermal diffusion but with a much larger diffusivity, in compressible flow this is no longer the case. This was recognised by Gilman & Glatzmaier (1981), who included a diffusive flux proportional to $\nabla T - \nabla T_{ad}$, i.e. proportional to potential temperature rather than actual temperature.

We must now decide whether to model the effect of turbulence, bearing in mind that there is no universally agreed theory of how this should be done, or ignore it. The model used here was developed by Braginsky & Roberts (1995) in the context of Earth’s core convection (see their sections 4.3 and 4.4, particularly their equations 4.22, 4.30 and 4.38) and was used (independently) in the stellar convection context by Clune et al. (1999), see their equation (3). The essential assumption is that there is a turbulent velocity $u’$ which gives rise to a turbulent entropy fluctuation $S’$, and these can be averaged over a short length-scale so that $\overline{u’} = \overline{S’} = 0$, but $\overline{u’}S’ = I’$, a non-zero entropy flux. We now adopt the well-known gradient-diffusion model (see e.g. Davidson, 2004, p 165)

$$I’ = -\rho \kappa \nabla S.$$ (2.13)

If we view the small-scale velocity $u’$ as prescribed, the small-scale turbulent entropy fluctuation is forced by the term $\rho(u’ \cdot \nabla)S$, and so is linearly proportional to $\nabla S$. The most general form for the turbulent entropy flux is then

$$I’_i = -\rho \kappa_{ij} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j},$$ (2.14)

where $\kappa_{ij}$ is an anisotropic eddy diffusivity. This argument relies on the effect of turbulence being local, so the correlation length of the turbulence must be much less than any radius of curvature length of the entropy profile $S$. Furthermore, as pointed out by Braginsky & Roberts (1995), it is not clear that the isotropic form $\kappa_{ij} = \kappa \delta_{ij}$ is always appropriate in a rotating system, but one might hope that if the unresolved turbulent velocity has sufficiently small length and time scale, it will be unaffected by the rotation. We adopt here the isotropic form (2.13), which has been the most popular choice in simulations, e.g. Clune et al. (1999), and which forms the basis of the much used nonlinear ASH code for anelastic convection.

Just as molecular diffusion gives a source term creating entropy, so turbulent diffusion also gives rise to a source term $\sigma’$, so

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)S \right) = -\nabla \cdot I’ + \sigma’ + \frac{1}{T} \nabla \cdot \rho c_p \kappa_m \nabla T + \frac{\rho u’ Q}{T}, \quad \sigma’ = -\frac{1}{T} (I’ \cdot \nabla)T,$$ (2.15)
the expression for \( \sigma^t \) being given by Braginsky & Roberts (1995), their equations (4.37) and (3.7b). So the nonlinear heat transport equation is

\[
\rho T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)S \right) = \nabla \cdot \rho T \kappa \nabla S + \nabla \cdot \rho c_p \kappa_T \nabla T + \rho \nu Q. \tag{2.16}
\]

Note that this form of \( \sigma^t \) ensures that the turbulent diffusion appears only as a divergence in (2.16), so there is no source of energy arising from the turbulence, only a source of entropy, consistent with the first law of thermodynamics. Consistency with the second law requires that the entropy source term is positive, which from (2.13) and (2.15) requires \( \nabla S \cdot \nabla T \geq 0 \). Since the layer is unstably stratified, this will normally be the case. In this paper, we adopt the opposite extreme from that taken in Drew et al. (1995) and ignore the molecular \( \kappa_m \) in comparison with the turbulent \( \kappa \). Since the reference state is assumed to be close to adiabatic, we can take \( S = S_c \) in the nonlinear heat transport equation. We can always add an arbitrary constant to entropy, so we can take the entropy \( S_c \) as zero at \( r = r_o \) and \( \Delta S \) at \( r = r_i \).

We nondimensionalise our equations using the length scale \( d \), timescale \( d^2/\nu \), where \( \nu \) is the constant kinematic viscosity, mass \( \rho_0 d^3 \), unit of entropy \( Pr \Delta S \), where Prandtl number \( Pr = \nu/\kappa \), \( \kappa \) being the constant entropy diffusion coefficient, and \( \Delta S \) being the entropy drop across the layer, so the dimensionless entropy \( S \) satisfies the boundary conditions

\[
S = Pr^{-1} \text{ on } r = r_i, \quad S = 0 \text{ on } r = r_o. \tag{2.17a, b}
\]

The six dimensionless parameters that govern anelastic compressible convection are

\[
Ra = \frac{GM d \Delta S}{\rho c_p \kappa_T}, \quad Pr = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}, \quad E = \frac{\nu}{\Omega d^2}, \quad N_p = \ln \left( \frac{\rho(r_i)}{\rho(r_o)} \right), \quad n, \quad \eta = r_i^2/r_o^2. \tag{2.18a-f}
\]

\( Ra \) being the Rayleigh number and \( E \) the Ekman number.

We now linearise the entropy equation and put it in dimensionless form. We linearise about a state with \( \mathbf{u} = 0 \) and no time-dependence. The basic state entropy, \( \bar{S}(r) \), is determined by the nonlinear entropy equation (2.16) together with the boundary conditions (2.17). Since we neglect \( \kappa_m \), (2.16) becomes

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \zeta^{n+1} \frac{d \bar{S}}{dr} = 0, \tag{2.19}
\]

using (2.2a) and (2.2d). The dimensionless solution, using boundary conditions (2.17) is

\[
\bar{S} = \frac{Pr^{-1} (\zeta_o^n - \zeta^n)}{\zeta_o^n - \zeta_i^n}. \tag{2.20}
\]
where
\[ \zeta_i = c_0 + \frac{c_1}{r_i}, \quad \zeta_o = c_0 + \frac{c_1}{r_o}. \] (2.21)

We now assume \( u \) and \( S' \) are small, and ignore second order quantities. The basic state entropy \( \bar{S}(r) \) can be balanced by a (small) static pressure in (2.7), so we can replace \( S_c \) in (2.7) by \( S' \). We obtain
\[ Pr \frac{\partial S'}{\partial t} = -Pr u \cdot \nabla \bar{S} + \zeta^{-n-1} \nabla \cdot \zeta^{n+1} \nabla S'. \] (2.22)

Note that a term from the advection down the mean entropy gradient, \( \nabla \bar{S} \), has now appeared. The boundary conditions on \( S' \) are taken as fixed entropy conditions, so
\[ S' = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad r = r_i, r_o. \] (2.23)

The linearised, dimensionless form of (2.7) used in this paper is
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -2E^{-1} \hat{z} \times u - \nabla \left( \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right) + F_v + \frac{RaS'}{r^2} \hat{r}. \] (2.24)

Equations (2.10), (2.22) and (2.24) form the basis of the remainder of this paper. It should be noted that we used the turbulent diffusion of entropy to determine the basic static entropy state about which we linearised. This may appear surprising, because the static state cannot be turbulent. However, we view the linear theory as the small amplitude limit of the nonlinear problem, and even at very low amplitudes turbulent diffusion will dominate molecular diffusion, and so the state described by (2.20) will be approached as the Rayleigh number is reduced towards critical. Ultimately, as the amplitude falls further, convection will be so slow that the turbulent diffusion will fall below even the molecular diffusion, and a new basic state determined by (2.16) with molecular diffusion only will be approached. We do not consider such extremely small amplitude convection here, as we are primarily interested in the limit \( Ra \to Ra_{crit} \) from above. The Boussinesq limit of these equations is discussed in Appendix A. The equations (2.22) and (2.24) are complemented by the boundary conditions (2.23) and either no-slip or stress-free boundary conditions for the velocity \( u \). The numerical implementation of the velocity boundary conditions is discussed in section 5 below.

3. Impossibility of convection at negative \( Ra \)

A surprising result of Drew et al. 1995 was the existence of growing modes even at negative \( Ra \) at some parameter values. In that paper it was conjectured that this anomalous behaviour was due to the presence of temperature diffusion rather than entropy diffusion.
in the entropy equation, (2.16), i.e. \( \kappa = 0 \) but \( \kappa_m \neq 0 \). Using entropy diffusion only, (2.22), one might expect that negative Rayleigh number always gives stability, and we now prove that this is indeed the case.

We multiply (2.24) by \( \rho u \) and integrate over the whole shell to get

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{1}{2} \zeta^n u^2 \, dv = \int \zeta^n u \cdot F_v \, dv + Ra \int \zeta^n \frac{S' u_r}{r^2} \, dv, \tag{3.1}
\]

where the pressure term is removed using the divergence theorem and (2.10). Now multiply (2.22) by \( RaPr^{-1} \rho (\text{d}S/dr)^{-1} S'/r^2 \) and integrate over the shell to get

\[
\frac{RaPr}{2nc_1} (\zeta_o^n - \zeta_i^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \zeta^{2n+1}(S')^2 \, dv = Ra \int \zeta^n S' \frac{u_r}{r^2} \, dv \\
+ Ra (\zeta_o^n - \zeta_i^n) \int \zeta^n S' \nabla \cdot \zeta^{n+1} \nabla S' \, dv, \tag{3.2}
\]

Subtracting (3.2) from (3.1) we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \frac{1}{2} \zeta^n u^2 - \frac{RaPr}{2nc_1} (\zeta_o^n - \zeta_i^n) \zeta^{2n+1}(S')^2 \, dv = \int \zeta^n u \cdot F_v \, dv \\
- \frac{Ra (\zeta_o^n - \zeta_i^n)}{nc_1} \int \zeta^n S' \nabla \cdot \zeta^{n+1} \nabla S' \, dv. \tag{3.3}
\]

Now if \( Ra < 0 \), the integral on the left-hand-side of (3.3) is positive, so for growing modes the left-hand-side must be positive. However, we show below that for negative \( Ra \) both integrals on the right-hand-side are non-positive. It is therefore impossible to have growing modes at negative Rayleigh number.

### 3.1. Viscous term

Using (2.8) with the summation convention,

\[
V = \int \zeta^n u \cdot F_v \, dv = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left\{ u_i \rho \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{2}{3} u_j \rho \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right\} \, dv \\
- \int \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \rho \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \, dv + \frac{2}{3} \int \rho \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \, dv \tag{3.4}
\]

The divergence term in (3.4) vanishes if either no-slip or stress-free boundary conditions apply, so

\[
V = -\frac{1}{2} \int \rho \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \, dv + \frac{2}{3} \int \rho \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \, dv \\
= \frac{4}{3} \int \rho \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \, dv - \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \sum_{i 
eq j} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \, dv \leq 0, \tag{3.5}
\]

establishing that for either no-slip or stress-free boundaries the viscous term is always negative.
Define

\[ H = \int \zeta^n S' \nabla \cdot \zeta^{n+1} \nabla S' \, dv = \int \nabla \cdot (\zeta^{2n+1} S' \nabla S') \, dv - \int \zeta^{n+1} \nabla (\zeta^n S') \cdot \nabla S' \, dv. \] (3.6)

The divergence term vanishes either if \( S' = 0 \) on the boundaries, the case studied here, and also if the normal derivative of \( S' \) vanishes on the boundaries. It is not immediately apparent that the second term on the right of (3.6) has definite sign as it is not a square. However, we can rewrite this term so that

\[ H = -\int \zeta (\nabla (\zeta^n S'))^2 \, dv + \int (\zeta^{1-n} \nabla \zeta^n) \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{2} (\zeta^n S')^2 \, dv. \] (3.7)

The first term is clearly now negative definite, and the second term vanishes if \( S' = 0 \) on the boundaries. To see this, using (2.2b)

\[ \int (\zeta^{1-n} \nabla \zeta^n) \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{2} (\zeta^n S')^2 \, dv = -\frac{n \epsilon}{2} \int \int \int \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\zeta^n S')^2 \sin \theta \, dr \, d\theta \, d\phi = 0 \] (3.8)

provided the boundary conditions are \( S' = 0 \) at the surface.

This establishes that both \( V \) and \( H \) are non-positive, so there cannot be growing modes if \( Ra < 0 \). In the case \( Ra = 0 \), it is also easy to establish there can be no growing modes, so unlike the Drew et al. (1995) problem, we only have linear growth for \( Ra > 0 \). The method can be generalized for any thermal diffusivity of the form \( \kappa = \kappa_0 \rho^\alpha \), which includes the case of constant thermal conductivity \( k = \kappa \rho \), \( \alpha = -1 \). The reference state is now

\[ \bar{S} = \frac{\zeta_o^{-n(1+\alpha)} - \zeta^{-n(1+\alpha)}}{Pr[\zeta_o^{-n(1+\alpha)} - \zeta^{-n(1+\alpha)}]}, \quad (\alpha \neq -1), \quad \bar{S} = \frac{\ln \zeta_o - \ln \zeta}{Pr[\ln \zeta_o - \ln \zeta_i]}, \quad (\alpha = -1). \] (3.9)

The method of proof to establish that growing modes cannot occur for negative \( Ra \) is the same as above.

Of course, this proof does not rule out the possibility of subcritical instability to nonlinear disturbances. Note that the proof fails if we use temperature diffusion, that is ignoring the turbulent term in (2.16), rather than entropy diffusion, which ignores the \( \kappa_m \) term. Then the integrals corresponding to (3.6) contain products of \( \nabla S' \) and \( \nabla T' \) and no definite conclusions about sign can be deduced. There is therefore no contradiction here with the results of Drew et al. (1995).

Note also that our proof does depend on specific assumptions about the equilibrium model. If for example, there was internal heating, then the form of \( d\bar{S}/dr \) is changed, and our method may no longer apply. Also, we required the boundary condition \( S' = 0 \) on the
boundaries to establish (3.8); it remains possible that with other boundary conditions negative Rayleigh number instability can occur even with entropy diffusion.

4. Small $E$ asymptotic theory

The onset of Boussinesq convection in a rapidly rotating sphere has been solved in the asymptotic limit $E \to 0$ (Jones et al., 2000), and in the spherical shell case by Dormy et al. (2004). Here we extend this WKB theory from Boussinesq to anelastic compressible convection.

In cylindrical polar coordinates $(s, \phi, z)$ we can satisfy the continuity equation (2.10) setting

$$\zeta^n u = \nabla \times \Psi \hat{z} + \nabla \times \nabla \times \Xi \hat{z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

In the limit $E \to 0$, the numerical solutions discussed below indicate that the convection at onset takes the form of tall thin columns in compressible convection as well as in Boussinesq convection. Following Jones et al. (2000) we adopt the scalings

$$\frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sim O(E^{-1/3}), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sim O(1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

when acting on perturbed quantities. Since we are seeking WKB solutions, we assume disturbances are proportional to

$$\exp[i(ks + m\phi - \omega t)],$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

where $\omega$ is in general complex. So at leading order,

$$\nabla^2, \nabla^2_H \to - \left( k^2 + \frac{m^2}{s^2} \right) = -a^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

At the boundaries, $u_r = 0$, so in general $u_z$ and $u_s$ are of the same order, so from (4.1)

$$\Xi \sim E^{1/3} \Psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

The appropriate asymptotic scalings for the variables are (Jones et al., 2000)

$$Ra = E^{-4/3} R, \quad \omega = E^{-2/3} \omega, \quad m = E^{-1/3} m, \quad k = E^{-1/3} k,$$

$$a = E^{-1/3} a, \quad S' = S', \quad \Psi = E^{-1/3} \psi, \quad u_z = E^{-2/3} w,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

4.1. Equations for the $z$-structure and the local dispersion relation

We insert these expressions into the $z$-component of the curl of the momentum equation (2.24) and the $z$-component of the double curl of the momentum equation, retaining
only leading order terms. A considerable simplification results because gradients of the density are only $O(1)$ whereas horizontal derivatives of perturbed quantities are larger at $O(E^{-1/3})$. Together with the entropy equation, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\zeta^n} \frac{d\psi}{dz} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}^2 - i\hat{\omega}) w - \frac{RzS'}{2r^3} + \frac{nz\psi}{r\zeta^{n+1}} \frac{d\zeta}{dr}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\frac{dw}{dz} = \left( \frac{\hat{a}^2}{2\zeta^n}(\hat{a}^2 - i\hat{\omega}) - \frac{im\psi}{r\zeta^{n+1}} \frac{d\zeta}{dr} \right) \psi + \frac{i\hat{m}RS'}{2r^3} - \frac{nzw}{r\zeta} \frac{d\zeta}{dr}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$S' = \frac{1}{(i\hat{\omega}Pr - \hat{a}^2)(\zeta^n - \zeta_i^n)} \frac{d\zeta}{dr} \left( \frac{i\hat{m}\psi}{r\zeta^{2n+1}} + \frac{nzw}{\zeta^n} \frac{d\zeta}{dr} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

On eliminating $S'$ we obtain a second order two-point boundary value problem in $z$ with eigenvalue $\hat{\omega}$. The boundary conditions are

$$i\hat{m}\psi + zw\zeta^n = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad z = \pm \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \eta)^2} - s^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.10)$$

This system is the compressible equivalent of the Roberts-Busse equations (see equation (3.5) of Jones et al. (2000), and equation (3.11) of Dormy et al. (2004), for the Boussinesq equivalents). It defines the local dispersion relation for $\hat{\omega}$ in terms of the parameters.

There are solutions both symmetric or antisymmetric about the equator, but as in the Boussinesq problem, the first modes to onset always appear to be those symmetric in $\psi$ and antisymmetric in $w$. The system has to be solved numerically, but of course this is a very simple one-dimensional problem compared to the task of solving the full system numerically, which involves the inversion of very large matrices, see Appendix B.

### 4.2. Local analysis

The first step in analysing the dispersion relation is to require that the growth rate $\text{Im}\{\hat{\omega}\} = 0$, and also that $\partial \text{Im}\{\hat{\omega}\}/\partial \hat{m} = 0$ and $\partial \text{Im}\{\hat{\omega}\}/\partial s = 0$. As in the Boussinesq case, $\hat{\omega}$ is a function of $\hat{k}^2$ only, rather than $\hat{k}$ alone, so minimising over $\hat{k}$ results in $\hat{k} = 0$. We hold $Pr$, $N_{\rho}$, $n$ fixed and find the values of $\hat{m}$, $R$ and $s$ that satisfy these three conditions. The critical value of $s$ found may lie (i) in $0 < s < \eta/(1 - \eta)$, or (ii) in $\eta/(1 - \eta) < s < 1/(1 - \eta)$, depending on the parameters. The system is singular at $s = 1/(1 - \eta)$ and critical $s$ cannot exceed that value. As explained in Dormy et al. (2004), these two cases must be treated differently. In case (i) the local maximum of $\text{Im}\{\hat{\omega}\}$ does not lie inside the fluid. In consequence, the minimum critical Rayleigh number is achieved at the tangent cylinder, and convection will occur there first as $R$ is increased. The leading order value of critical $R$ is given by setting $s = s_0$, its value at the tangent cylinder, and
solving $Im\{\omega\} = 0$ and $\partial Im\{\omega\}/\partial \hat{m} = 0$ for $\mathcal{R}$ and $\hat{m}$. The width of the convective region near onset is $O(E^{2/9})$, and the (non-zero) frequency is given by $Re\{\hat{\omega}\}$.

4.3. Global analysis

In case (ii), the global theory of instability must be used. Now the WKB theory predicts that onset lies in the neighbourhood of some $s = s_M$ inside the fluid, so a solution is required for which the amplitude decays to zero as $(s - s_M)/E^{1/3} \to \pm \infty$. For such solutions to exist, there must be a value of $s$ in the complex plane at which both the real and imaginary parts of $\partial \hat{\omega}/\partial s = 0$. So these two conditions, together with $Im\{\hat{\omega}\} = 0$ and $\partial Im\{\hat{\omega}\}/\partial \hat{m} = 0$, give four equations for four unknowns, $\mathcal{R}$, $\hat{m}$ and $s = s_r + is_i$. The value of $\mathcal{R}_c$ that emerges from global theory is larger by an order one amount from the local value. Once the turning point $s_c = s_r + is_i$ has been identified in the complex plane, $\mathcal{R}_c$, $\hat{\omega}_c$ and $\hat{m}_c$ are determined, so the dispersion relation $\hat{\omega}(\hat{k}, s) = \hat{\omega}_c$ becomes an equation that determines complex $\hat{k}$ as a function of complex $s$, and at $s_c$, $\hat{k}$ has a double zero. If we insert real values $s$, and find the value of $s = s_M$ at which $\hat{k}$ is purely real, then the solution has $s$-dependence in the neighbourhood of $s = s_M$

$$\sim \exp \left[ i\hat{k}_M s - s_M E^{1/3} + \frac{i\hat{k}_M}{2} \frac{(s - s_M)^2}{E^{1/3}} \right], \text{ with } \hat{k}_M = \left( \frac{dk}{ds} \right)_M, \quad (4.11)$$

provided the $\hat{k}$ root with $Im\{dk/ds\} > 0$ is chosen. It follows that $s = s_M$ is where the convection has maximum amplitude in case (ii). The quantities $\hat{k}_M$ and $Im\{dk/ds\}_M$ give the radial wavenumber near the onset of convection, and the inverse width of the convecting region respectively. $\hat{k}_M s_M/\hat{m}$ measures the amount of spiralling in the solution, discussed further in section 6. Other important quantities are the values of $s$ where the anti-Stokes lines cut the real $s$-axis, $s_-$ and $s_+$. The anti-Stokes lines are defined by the path

$$Im \left\{ \int_{s_o}^{s} \hat{k}(s) \, ds \right\} = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

$s_c$ being the double turning point in the complex plane. As discussed in Jones et al. (2000), the global asymptotic theory is only valid when the interval $(s_-, s_+)$ lies entirely within the fluid. If $s_- < s_i$ or $s_+ > s_o$, then the boundary conditions at $s = s_i$ or $s = s_o$ matter, and the asymptotic theory becomes considerably more complicated.

4.4. Algorithms required to evaluate asymptotic results

To study the asymptotic theory, a suite of five programs is required. First, for any set of parameters $\eta$, $N_\rho$, $n$, and $Pr$ the dispersion relation given by solving (4.7-4.10) is used to
minimise $R$ over $s$ and $\hat{m}$ (with $\hat{k} = 0$). If the minimising value of $s$ satisfies $s \leq \eta/(1 - \eta)$ then we must fix $s = \eta/(1 - \eta)$ and use a second program that minimises $R$ over $\hat{m}$ only, to get the correct value of $R_c$. If on the other hand $s > \eta/(1 - \eta)$, then the global theory must be applied. We use a third program that solves complex $d\hat{\omega}/ds = 0$, $Im\{\hat{\omega}\} = 0$ and $Im\{\partial \hat{\omega}/\partial \hat{m}\} = 0$ for the four unknowns $R_c$, $\hat{m}$, $s_r$ and $s_i$. Then a fourth program which inputs the values of $R_c$, $\hat{m}$ and $\hat{\omega}_c$ from the global theory program is used to find $\hat{k}(s)$ from the relation $\hat{\omega}_c = \hat{\omega}(\hat{k}, s)$. This program must find the real value of $s = s_M$ at which $Im\{\hat{k}\} = 0$ and finds the corresponding values of $\hat{k} = \hat{k}_M$ and $Im\{d\hat{k}/ds\}_M$, being careful to select the sign of $\hat{k}_M$ such that $Im\{d\hat{k}/ds\}_M > 0$. The fifth program, which finds $s_-$ and $s_+$, evaluates the complex path integral

$$Im\left\{\int_{s_-}^{s_+} \hat{k}(s) ds\right\}$$

from the double turning point to any real $s$, again calculating $\hat{k}$ from $\hat{\omega}_c = \hat{\omega}(\hat{k}, s)$. The program must then find the zeroes of this real integral as $s$ varies to obtain $s_-$ and $s_+$. Since the dispersion relation $\hat{\omega}_c = \hat{\omega}(\hat{k}, s)$ always has two roots $\hat{k}$ with opposite signs, care must be taken to ensure that the same root is taken as the complex integral is evaluated.

5. Numerical formulation for finite $E$

5.1. Toroidal and poloidal decomposition

From the continuity equation (2.10) we can set

$$u = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \times \nabla \times \hat{r} f_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \times \hat{r} e_\rho,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where $e$ and $f$ are the toroidal and poloidal velocity component potentials, respectively. Note that here we use Chandrasekhar’s 1961 (Appendix III) definition of toroidal and poloidal scalars based on the unit vector $\hat{r}$. The anelastic continuity equation (2.10) is automatically satisfied for this decomposition. The boundary conditions can be taken as either no-slip or stress-free,

$$f = e = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = 0, \hspace{0.5cm} \mathrm{no-slip},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

$$f = r \frac{\partial e}{\partial r} - 2e = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} - 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{r}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = 0, \hspace{0.5cm} \mathrm{stress-free.}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

Stress-free boundary conditions were used in all the numerical results presented here. At low $E$, there is not a great deal of difference in the linear theory between stress-free and no-slip boundary conditions, as the leading order asymptotic results are the same in both
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cases, see e.g. Dormy et al. (2004). It is only when zonal flow generation is considered that the difference between stress-free and no-slip becomes crucial (see e.g. Gillet & Jones, 2006).

We are looking for the solutions for velocity and entropy in the form of azimuthal waves

\[ e = e(r, \theta) e^{i(m\phi - \omega t)}, \quad f = f(r, \theta) e^{i(m\phi - \omega t)}, \quad S' = S'(r, \theta) e^{i(m\phi - \omega t)}, \quad (5.4) \]

where \( m \) is the azimuthal wave number and positive values of the frequency \( \omega \) correspond to prograde motion while negative values to the retrograde one. At low \( E \) prograde modes appear to be the most unstable.

Further details of the toroidal-poloidal equations, together with a brief description of the numerical method used, are given in Appendix B.

6. Results

6.1. The Boussinesq case

Before discussing the effects of compressibility, we first recall some of the known features of Boussinesq rapidly rotating convection, gleaned from Busse (1970), Zhang (1992), Jones et al. (2000), Dormy et al. (2004) and Al-Shamali et al. (2004). In spherical shell models at low \( E \), convection first occurs outside the tangent cylinder surrounding the inner core. In the case of differential heating, that is no internal heating and a prescribed temperature drop across the shell, the onset of convection occurs in the neighbourhood of the tangent cylinder, whereas with internal heating onset can occur in the interior of the shell. In this paper we only consider the differential heating case. With slow rotation, convection may be axisymmetric at onset (Geiger & Busse, 1981), but in rapidly rotating systems, convection always takes a nonaxisymmetric columnar form except at very low Prandtl number (Zhang, 1994) where inertial modes may occur first. In this paper, we have not explored these very low \( Pr \) cases.

The preferred azimuthal wavenumber at onset increases with radius ratio. At \( Pr = 1 \) and \( E = 2 \times 10^{-4}, m = 6 \) is preferred at \( \eta = 0.35 \), but onset with \( m = 61 \) occurs first at \( \eta = 0.85 \). Asymptotically, the Rayleigh number scales as \( Ra \sim E^{-4/3} \) as \( E \to 0, m \sim E^{-1/3} \), and the frequency of the most unstable mode scales as \( \omega \sim E^{-2/3} \), although this asymptotic dependence is only completely established at very low \( E \) (Dormy et al. 2004) and for \( E \approx 10^{-4} \) Al-Shamali et al. (2004) found that for the Rayleigh
Figure 1. Critical $Ra$, $\omega$ and $m$ as a function of $N_\rho$. $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$. (a) $\eta = 0.5$, (b) $\eta = 0.85$.

number dependence an exponent $E^{-1.16}$ fitted the numerical data best. The frequency first increases then decreases as $\eta$ is increased. If the Prandtl number is decreased, the critical azimuthal wavenumber is somewhat reduced, and the frequency increased. Thus at $Pr = 0.1$, $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 0.35$, $m = 5$ is preferred while at $\eta = 0.85$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 43$ and the frequency is about 3 times the $Pr = 1$ value.

In all cases, the mode with $z$-vorticity symmetric about the equator, (and the $z$-velocity antisymmetric) is preferred over the modes with the opposite symmetry (Busse, 1970).

6.2. Compressible results

Dormy et al. (2004) noted that if the heat flux driving the convection was applied at the inner boundary, and there was no internal heating, (the case there called differential heating) then convection onsets at the tangent cylinder; i.e. case (i) of section 4.2 applies irrespective of the size of the inner core. Since this is the form of heating adopted here, we might expect case (i) to occur always. Furthermore, the form of gravity adopted here $g \sim 1/r^2$, favours convection closer to the tangent cylinder than the $g \sim r$ used in Dormy et al. (2004). Remarkably, even a rather modest amount of compressibility completely overcomes these effects, and convection frequently occurs in the interior, case (ii). The tendency for compressibility to push the convection towards the outer boundary was noted in Glatzmaier & Gilman (1981a) and Drew et al. (1995). It is clearly a powerful effect, overcoming the rapid diminution of gravity as $r$ increases.

In figures 1(a) and (b) we show the variation of $Ra_{\text{crit}}$, $m_{\text{crit}}$ and $\omega_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of $N_\rho$, which increases with increasing density variation. Here $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$, and
$E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$. The variation with $E$ may be approximately inferred from the scaling laws, and we consider the effect of varying $Pr$ below. We fix $n = 2$ throughout the paper. This value was motivated by models of Jupiter’s atmosphere, where $n$ ranges from approximately 1 near the transition region to around 3 near the surface. We see that the critical Rayleigh number, azimuthal wavenumber and frequency all increase with $N_\rho$. The increase in $Ra$ and $m$ appears to be due to the tendency for the convection to move outward towards the low density region when the shell contains many density scale heights. In consequence, the effect of increasing $N_\rho$ is similar to that of increasing $\eta$ in Boussinesq convection, where as mentioned above $Ra_{\text{crit}}$ and $m_{\text{crit}}$ increase with $\eta$. The increase in frequency cannot be attributed to this effect, because frequency does not vary consistently with $\eta$. The increase in frequency is actually due to the local vortex stretching mechanism in compressible fluids, discussed by Evonuk & Glatzmaier (2004) and Evonuk (2008). In Boussinesq convection, the convection columns form Rossby waves in which the restoring force is due to the vortex stretching associated with the sloping boundary as a column moves towards or away from the rotation axis. In compressible convection, as a fluid element moves into less (more) dense surroundings it expands (contracts), and its vorticity is stretched (reduced) as it does so. In consequence, there is an additional restoring force acting on the columns which reinforces the restoring force due to the sloping boundaries. This compressible effect therefore increases the wave frequency, and the greater the compressibility $N_\rho$ the faster the wave travels. This effect can also be understood in terms of the Proudman-Taylor theorem. When the vorticity equation is formed, the Coriolis term on taking the curl of $2\Omega \times \mathbf{u}$ no longer simply becomes $-\mathbf{2\Omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$ because this result relies on $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, no longer true in our compressible situation. In consequence an additional term is present in the vorticity equation, corresponding to the physical mechanism discussed above.

In figures 2(a), (b) and (c) we show how the eigenfunctions change as $N_\rho$ increases. The parameters are $E = 2 \times 10^{-5}$, $\eta = 0.5$, $Pr = 1$. The Boussinesq case, 2(a), shows the onset of convection occurring near the tangent cylinder as expected. The value of $E$ is sufficiently small for the asymptotic behaviour to be apparent, and the meridional cross-section, taken at a $\phi$-value indicated by the horizontal line in figure 2(a), shows the ‘tall thin column’ behaviour. At moderate compressibility, $N_\rho = 2$ in figure 2(b), the convection has moved out into the fluid interior, still maintaining the columnar structure.
Figure 2. Left panel: equatorial section of entropy fluctuation $S'$. The horizontal radius marks the $\phi$ location at which the right hand meridional section is taken. Right panel: meridional section at the longitude marked in the left panel. $E = 2 \times 10^{-5}$, $\eta = 0.5$, $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$. (a) Boussinesq, $N_\rho = 0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 3.2280 \times 10^6$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 534.36$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 23$. (b) $N_\rho = 2.0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 3.3258 \times 10^7$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 1844.42$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 55$. (c) $N_\rho = 5.0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 6.6570 \times 10^7$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 5614.99$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 133.$
Note that in figure 2(b) there is strong prograde spiralling, that is each convective column is located at increasing $\phi$ as $s$ increases (Zhang, 1992).

At large compressibility, the convection onsets close to the outer shell, and despite the very strong curvature of the outer boundary here the columnar structure is still evident. The physical reason why the outer boundary near the equator is the preferred location in compressible convection appears to lie primarily in the form of the reference state entropy gradient, which from (2.20) is

$$\frac{d\tilde{S}}{dr} = -\frac{c_1 Pr^{-1}}{\zeta^n - \zeta_i^{-n}} \frac{n}{\zeta^{n+1} r^2},$$

(6.1)

which becomes large and negative as $\zeta$ becomes small near the outer boundary. In consequence, for a given velocity the corresponding entropy fluctuation is much larger near the outer boundary (see equation 4.9), and this strongly enhances the buoyancy terms in (4.7) and (4.8) enabling them to overcome the dissipation. Only near the equator is it possible for convection columns to exist predominantly in the low density region. Far from the equator, columns must extend into the interior, with consequently larger damping relative to only a thin region of strong driving. In Boussinesq convection the large slope of the boundaries as the equatorial region is approached makes the flow strongly ageostrophic, so Boussinesq convection avoids this region, but the strong driving in this region in the compressible case overcomes this disadvantage. The only other place $\zeta$ terms enter (4.7)-(4.9) is in the additional terms proportional to $d\zeta/dr$ in (4.7) and (4.8), consequences of the compressible continuity equation (2.10), but these do not appear to be responsible for the strong preference of convection to occur at the outer boundary. This explanation is supported by the results of Glatzmaier & Gilman (1981a) and Drew et al. (1995) who also found convection occurring primarily near the outer boundary in the vicinity of the equator in their constant thermal diffusivity case. With constant thermal diffusivity the model based on molecular thermal conductivity also has a much stronger temperature gradient near the outer boundary. On the other hand, Glatzmaier & Gilman (1981b) found that with constant conductivity, i.e. $\kappa \sim 1/\rho$, where the reference state temperature gradient is much more uniform, there was no such tendency for convection to move towards the equatorial region.

The asymptotic regime we consider here is $E \to 0$ with $N_\rho$ fixed. Figure 2(c) suggests that another asymptotic regime may develop, in which $E \to 0$ and $N_\rho \to \infty$, and where the modes are trapped at the equator. This double limit has not been explored here in detail. Generally, the frequency of the modes is increasing with $N_\rho$ and this raises
the possibility that these modes may be connected with equatorially trapped inertial modes (see Zhang et al. 2007, particularly their equation 4.1, where the anelastic form of the inertial wave equation is given). An essential difference between the columnar modes described here and inertial modes are that the frequency of inertial modes is $O(\Omega)$, whereas the frequency of columnar modes is smaller, only $O(\Omega E^{1/3})$. The increase in frequency as $N_\rho$ increase may represent a move to a more inertial character of the convection in the double limit $E \to 0$ and $N_\rho \to \infty$.

In figures 3(a),(b) we show how varying the Prandtl number affects the critical Rayleigh number, frequency and preferred wavenumber. The general trend is for all these quantities to increase with $N_\rho$, but the discontinuous frequency near $N_\rho = 1.3$ indicates that mode crossing has occurred. The wavespeeds are much higher at low Prandtl number, and the preferred $m$-values are lower.

In figures 4(a), (b) and (c) we show the eigenfunctions at a low Prandtl number of 0.1. This can be compared with figures 2, which was for Prandtl number 1. The general trend of the convection moving outwards as $N_\rho$ increases is found here also, but note that the convecting region is much broader than at $Pr = 1$ and there is very strong prograde spiralling. We found that this strong spiralling only occurs as the Ekman number is reduced below $\sim 10^{-5}$. At $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ there is only weak spiralling. The amount of spiralling is important as zonal flows are only generated if the convection spirals outward. Stronger zonal flows will therefore be generated at lower Prandtl number.
Figure 4. Left panel: equatorial section of entropy fluctuation $S'$. Right panel: meridional section at the longitude marked in the left panel. $E = 2 \times 10^{-5}$, $\eta = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.1$, $n = 2$. (a) Boussinesq, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 1.0381 \times 10^6$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 1606.12$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 17$. (b) $N_p = 2.0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 4.6853 \times 10^6$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 6900.95$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 29$. (c) $N_p = 5.0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 7.6817 \times 10^6$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 18933.3$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 65$. 
In table 1, results from (a) a wide-gap shell, \( \eta = 0.5 \), with compressibility \( N_\rho = 2 \) and (b) a narrow-gap shell, \( \eta = 0.8 \) at compressibility \( N_\rho = 1 \). Both cases were run at \( Pr = 0.1, 1 \) and 10. In all these cases, instability onsets in the interior of the fluid, which in case (a) is \( 1 < s < 2 \) and in case (b) is \( 4 < s < 5 \) in the dimensionless unit. The frequency \( \hat{\omega}_c \) at onset decreases strongly with Prandtl number. The azimuthal wavenumber \( \hat{m}_c \) increases strongly with \( \eta \). The turning point in the complex plane is at \( s_r + i s_i \), and \( s_M \) is where the convection onsets first. \( \hat{k}_M \) is the radial wavenumber at onset, and \( \hat{k}_M s_M/\hat{m}_c \) measures the ratio of radial to azimuthal wavenumber. A large value means the convection spirals strongly in the equatorial \( s - \phi \) plane. \( \hat{k}_M \) is generally negative, which means the spiralling is prograde, as in figures 2b and 4b, that is the spiral has increasing \( \phi \) as \( s \) increases. At high Prandtl number, \( \hat{k}_M s_M/\hat{m}_c \) is small and there is very little spiralling, the convection pattern taking the form of radial spokes. At low Prandtl number \( \hat{k}_M s_M/\hat{m}_c \) is large and there is strong spiralling as noted in figure 4b. A curiosity is that the spiralling can actually be retrograde at high Prandtl number, as in the case \( \eta = 0.8, Pr = 10 \). In Boussinesq spherical convection, only prograde spiralling has been found (Zhang 1992, Jones et al. 2000). The quantity \( Im(\hat{d}k/ds)_M \) measures how confined the convection is. The low value at low Prandtl number means the disturbance spreads
over a large fraction of the cell, and this can be observed by comparing figures 2 with figures 4. The higher values at high Prandtl number mean that at onset the convection is tightly constrained to values of $s$ close to $s_M$ decaying rapidly in both $s$ directions.

Also given in table 1 are the values of $s_-$ and $s_+$, where the anti-Stokes lines cut the real axis. The global theory is only strictly valid if this interval lies entirely inside the fluid. This causes no difficulty at $Pr = 1$ and $Pr = 10$, but at $Pr = 0.1$ the interval does not lie within the fluid. The values of $s_-$ are outside the interval, and there is no value of $s_+$, and the $\hat{k}$ emerging from the dispersion relation becomes singular as $s \to 1/(1-\eta)$. This means that at low Prandtl number, because the convection is so spread out at onset, the solution is not sufficiently localised to be completely independent of the boundary conditions at the tangent cylinder and at $s = 1/(1-\eta)$.

In table 2, we again focus on $\eta = 0.5$ and $\eta = 0.8$, but we consider the critical values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{p}^{LG}$</td>
<td>1.7432</td>
<td>1.7706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_c$</td>
<td>1.2618</td>
<td>13.5384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{\omega}_c$</td>
<td>5.2824</td>
<td>1.3205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{m}_c$</td>
<td>0.6123</td>
<td>1.1261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{p}^{AS}$</td>
<td>2.0745</td>
<td>1.8154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_c$</td>
<td>3.5165</td>
<td>14.9529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{\omega}_c$</td>
<td>4.6658</td>
<td>1.3255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\hat{m}_c$</td>
<td>0.8336</td>
<td>1.2155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_r$</td>
<td>1.1024</td>
<td>1.0833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_i$</td>
<td>-0.2982</td>
<td>-0.1438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_+$</td>
<td>1.4379</td>
<td>1.0328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Local and global bifurcation data. $N_{p}^{LG}$ is the value of $N_{p}$ at which the $s$-value which gives minimum critical Rayleigh number on local theory coincides with the inner sphere. For $N_{p} < N_{p}^{LG}$ convection onsets first at the tangent cylinder. For $N_{p} > N_{p}^{LG}$ convection onsets in the interior of the gap, and global theory must be used. $N_{p}^{AS}$ is the value of $N_{p}$ at which the lower anti-Stokes point $s_-$ lies on the tangent cylinder. $s_+$ is the value of the upper anti-Stokes point at $N_{p} = N_{p}^{AS}$, and $R_c$, $\hat{\omega}_c$, $\hat{m}_c$, $s_r$ and $s_i$ are evaluated using global bifurcation theory at the same point.
of $N_{\rho}$ that can occur. Since in Boussinesq fluid, convection always onsets first at the tangent cylinder, whereas at large $N_{\rho}$ it onsets in the interior of the fluid, there must always be a critical value of $N_{\rho}$, denoted by $N_{\rho}^{LG}$, below which convection onsets first at the tangent cylinder, and these are listed in table 2. For $N_{\rho} < N_{\rho}^{LG}$, local theory with $s = s_i$ must be used, for $N_{\rho} > N_{\rho}^{LG}$ global theory, with a complex $s_c$, is used, so $N_{\rho} = N_{\rho}^{LG}$ denotes the transition between local and global theory. As noted above, even quite modest amounts of compressibility push the convection away from the tangent cylinder, despite the gravity falling off steeply as the distance from the TC increases. The corresponding values of $R$, $\hat{\omega}$, and $\hat{m}$ are given at this critical $N_{\rho} = N_{\rho}^{LG}$. $N_{\rho}^{AS}$ is the value of $N_{\rho}$ at which the smaller of the two anti-Stokes points, $s_-$, lies on the tangent cylinder. $s_+$ is the value of the larger anti-Stokes point at $N_{\rho} = N_{\rho}^{AS}$, and $R$, $\omega$, $m$, $s_r$, and $s_i$ are evaluated using global bifurcation theory at the same point. For $N_{\rho} > N_{\rho}^{AS}$ and $s_+ < 1/(1 - \eta)$ the entire interval $(s_-, s_+)$ lies in the fluid and so global bifurcation theory gives the correct asymptotic $E \to 0$ limit. For values of $N_{\rho}$ in $N_{\rho}^{LG} < N_{\rho} < N_{\rho}^{AS}$ neither the global or local asymptotic theories apply.

Most of the features seen in figures 1-4 can be inferred from the asymptotic theory, though values of $E < 10^{-4}$ are needed before the asymptotic regime is unambiguously reached. Thus the higher values of $m$, the lower frequencies and the higher Rayleigh numbers at higher Prandtl number can all be deduced from the asymptotic theory.

In figure 5, the approach to the asymptotic limit is examined, for $Pr = 1$ and $\eta = 0.5$. We show $Ra \times E^{4/3}$ as a function of $N_{\rho}$ at $E = 10^{-4}$ and $E = 10^{-5}$, and the asymptotic value of $R$. In the limit $E \to 0$ the full numerical simulations should approach the asymptotic curve, and it appears that they do. Note that below $N_{\rho}^{LG} = 1.771$ the local asymptotic theory is used, and above $N_{\rho}^{AS} = 1.815$ the global asymptotic theory is used. Between the two is a gap, as the the global asymptotic theory is not strictly valid until $s_-$ lies inside the fluid. The asymptotic limit is approached most rapidly when the convection lies well inside the fluid interior. This is not too surprising, as when the convection lies at the tangent cylinder, the errors are $O(E^{2/3})$ (Dormy et al. 2004) whereas in the interior they are $O(E^{1/3})$. When the convection occurs near the outer shell, it is restricted in the z-direction by the geometry (see figure 2c), so for the tall thin columns necessary for the asymptotic theory to be a good approximation, naturally the radial extent must be correspondingly less, and this requires even smaller $E$.

In figure 6 we show the variation of $Ra$, $\omega$ and $m$ as $E$ varies for a strongly stratified
Figure 5. Asymptotic theory compared with full numerical code results. \( \eta = 0.5, \, Pr = 1, \, n = 2 \). Solid line, \( R \) against \( N_\rho \) from the asymptotic theory. Dashed line, \( Ra \times E^{4/3} \) against \( N_\rho \) at \( E = 10^{-5} \). Dotted line, \( Ra \times E^{4/3} \) against \( N_\rho \) at \( E = 10^{-4} \). The small circles denote the asymptotic values at \( N_\rho^{LG} \) and \( N_\rho^{AS} \). For \( N_\rho < N_\rho^{LG} \) local theory at \( s = s_i \) is used, for \( N_\rho > N_\rho^{AS} \) the global theory is used.

Figure 6. \( \log_{10} Ra_{\text{crit}}, \log_{10} \omega_{\text{crit}} \) and \( \log_{10} m_{\text{crit}} \) as a function of \( -\log_{10} E \). \( N_\rho = 5, \, \eta = 0.5, \, Pr = 1.0, \, n = 2 \). Dashed lines with the slopes corresponding to the expected asymptotic power laws are drawn for comparison.
case, $N_\rho = 5$. Figure 6 is plotted on a logarithmic scale so that the power law variation with $E$ can be discerned. We see that for the lowest values of $E$ that could be comfortably achieved by the code at large $N_\rho$, about $E = 10^{-5}$, the slope of $\log_{10} Ra$ against $\log_{10} E^{-1}$ is 1.3, not far from the expected asymptotic value of $4/3$. Similarly the slope of $\log_{10} \omega$ against $\log_{10} E^{-1}$ is 0.69, close to the expected value of $2/3$, while the exponent of the azimuthal wavenumber dependence is $E^{-0.35}$ compared to the asymptotic value of $-1/3$.

6.4. Solutions antisymmetric about the equator

Busse (1970) noted that solutions with $\psi$, $u_r$, and $u_\phi$ symmetric about the equator onset at lower critical Rayleigh number than the modes with the opposite parity in the Boussinesq case. Note that modes with this symmetry also have $u_z$ antisymmetric about the equator. We explored whether modes with symmetric $u_r$ are also preferred in compressible cases. In much the same way as for the case of symmetric modes about the equator, the critical $Ra$ and azimuthal numbers $m$ grow with $N_\rho$, see figure 7. If we compare figures 7(a) and 7(b), we see that the critical Rayleigh number is larger for the antisymmetric modes at all values of $N_\rho$, so there is no evidence that antisymmetric modes are preferred in compressible convection. The structure of the solutions is shown in figure 8, both for a Boussinesq and a strongly compressible case. Apart from the equatorial parity, the behaviour is similar to that of the symmetric modes.
Meridional sections for $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 0.5$, $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$. (a) Symmetric Boussinesq mode, $N_\rho = 0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 8.7529 \times 10^4$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 90.11$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 6$. (b) Anti-symmetric mode with $Ra = 4.0712 \times 10^5$, $\omega = 279.59$, $m = 10$. (c) Symmetric mode with $N_\rho = 5.0$, $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 2.02198 \times 10^5$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 881.28$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 39$. (d) Antisymmetric mode with $Ra = 3.11521 \times 10^6$, $\omega = 820.29$, $m = 42$.

6.5. Higher (polar) modes inside the Tangent Cylinder

Convection always starts outside the tangent cylinder, but as the Rayleigh number is increased, higher Rayleigh number modes onset, and eventually convection occurs inside the tangent cylinder as well as outside it. Indeed, eventually more heat transport occurs inside the tangent cylinder than outside it (Tilgner & Busse, 1997). Here we explore how convection extends into the tangent cylinder from a linear perspective. At a given $m$ there is a two parameter family of eigenfunctions, one corresponding to modes with additional zeroes in the $z$-direction, and one corresponding to higher modes in the $s$-direction. The next mode after the fundamental in the $z$-direction is just the antisymmetric mode discussed in the section 6.4, and the subsequent sequence has increasing structure in the columns. There are substantial jumps in $Ra_{\text{crit}}$ between each mode in this sequence. In figure 9 we show some of the $s$-sequence of modes for the Boussinesq case with $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 0.7$, $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$. The next symmetric mode in the $z$-sequence has $Ra = 8.378 \times 10^5$, and has vorticity which changes sign twice along one column. Figure 9(a) is the fundamental (lowest $Ra_{\text{crit}}$) mode, with a structure similar to those shown in figures 2(a) and 4(a). The next mode is shown in figure 9(b). In the meridional section we see a double row of vortices as $s$ varies, but this is slightly deceptive, because the radial equatorial section on the left panel shows that there is in fact only one spiral, but constant
Figure 9. Some higher Ra modes for Boussinesq convection, $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 0.7$, $Pr = 1.0$, $n = 2$. Left panel: equatorial section of radial velocity $u_r$. Right panel: meridional section at the longitude marked in the left panel. (a) $Ra_{\text{crit}} = 1.0536 \times 10^{6}$, $\omega_{\text{crit}} = 111.09$, $m_{\text{crit}} = 26$ (lowest mode). (b) $Ra_2 = 2.0979 \times 10^{6}$, $\omega_2 = 97.83$, $m_2 = 29$. (c) $Ra_5 = 8.6838 \times 10^{6}$, $\omega_5 = 58.69$, $m_5 = 39$. 
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Figure 10. Meridional sections of the incompressible (Boussinesq) solutions for high latitudinal modes for $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 0.7$, $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$. (a) $Ra_6 = 1.0613 \times 10^7$, $\omega_6 = 58.09$, $m_6 = 40$; (b) $Ra_9 = 1.2618 \times 10^7$, $\omega_9 = 37.97$, $m_9 = 33$; (c) $Ra_{11} = 1.3512 \times 10^7$, $\omega_{11} = 37.89$, $m_{11} = 26$; (d) $Ra = 1.4797 \times 10^7$, $\omega = -3.052$, $m = 3$ (not optimised over $m$).

$\phi$ lines cut both a positive and a negative spiral with substantial amplitudes. This can happen at low Prandtl number even for the lowest mode, see figures 4(a) and 4(b). At very low Ekman number, the interval in Rayleigh number between adjacent modes becomes $O(RaE^{1/3})$ (Jones et al. 2000). We omit the third and fourth modes in the sequence, which exist at $Ra = 3.577 \times 10^6$ and $Ra = 5.514 \times 10^6$, and in figure 9(c) we show the fifth member of this eigenfunction sequence. Not only has the whole region outside the tangent cylinder filled with convecting columns, they have even penetrated inside the tangent cylinder, working in from the tangent cylinder itself. Nonlinear convection at this value of $Ra$ will have significant amplitude inside the tangent cylinder. In figure 10, the $s$-sequence continues, but we show just meridional sections. Figure 10a is the 6th in the $s$-sequence and illustrates the rapid penetration of the convection into the tangent cylinder. Local convection is now possible inside the tangent cylinder, and so the problem starts to resemble the degenerate problem of convection between horizontal boundaries. In consequence there are now smaller gaps in $Ra$ between the adjacent modes in the sequence, and it becomes harder to evaluate the mode sequence. The trend is that modes of smaller $m$ start to appear, and the convection penetrates right up to the polar regions. The final picture 10(d) has a very low value of $m$. Unlike the other modes in this sequence it has not been optimised over $m$, but we include it to illustrate the existence of neutral polar modes at Rayleigh numbers of the same order as the other modes.

In figure 11 we show the equivalent $s$-sequence for a strongly compressible case. As
Figure 11. Meridional sections of the compressible ($N_\rho = 5.0$) solutions for high latitudinal modes for $E = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\eta = 0.7$, $Pr = 1$, $n = 2$: The first unstable mode (a) $Ra_{crit} = 1.2087 \times 10^7$, $\omega_{crit} = 1249.7$, $m_{crit} = 98$; the second mode (b) $Ra_2 = 1.6655 \times 10^7$, $\omega_2 = 1152.5$, $m_2 = 105$; the 5th mode (c) $Ra_5 = 2.4845 \times 10^7$, $\omega_5 = 982.0$, $m_5 = 104$; the 8th mode (d) $Ra_8 = 3.0735 \times 10^7$, $\omega_8 = 858.9$, $m_8 = 99$; and a higher mode (e) $Ra_{high} = 4.1685 \times 10^7$, $\omega_{high} = 592.3$, $m_{high} = 74$;

expected, all members of the sequence have convection starting close to the outer wall. The general trend of movement towards the polar regions occurs for these highly compressible modes also. However, the crossing of the tangent cylinder is much less of a barrier for the compressible modes, and the spacing in Rayleigh number is fairly regular. The sharp drop in the Rayleigh number intervals between adjacent modes that is such a clear feature in figures 9 and 10 does not occur.

7. Conclusion

Although our model has some differences between the earlier models of Glatzmaier & Gilman (1981a,b), and Drew et al. (1995), notably in this work the diffusion of entropy dominates in the heat equation, many of the features found there also occur here. Compressibility moves the onset convecting region away from the tangent cylinder towards the outer shell. This is a very powerful effect. Even a moderate degree of compressibility, with only a few scale heights in the layer, can overcome counteracting tendencies such as a gravity field and a basic state temperature gradient that drops off quickly as $r$ increases. We also note that compressibility increases the critical azimuthal wavenumber at onset at a given Ekman number. This means that nonlinear compressible convection is considerably more challenging numerically than Boussinesq convection. To achieve the same Ekman number at $N_\rho = 5$ as in a Boussinesq code, the azimuthal resolution will need to be roughly a factor of 5 greater. Another notable feature is that the wave frequencies
are substantially higher in strongly compressible cases. Wave frequency decreases rapidly with increasing Prandtl number, but compressibility increases frequencies at all Prandtl numbers. The strong spiralling effect seen particularly at low Prandtl numbers occurs also in compressible convection, and so strong zonal flows are more likely to result from low Prandtl compressible convection just as they are in Boussinesq convection.

We have also shed some light on the slightly mysterious result of convection at negative Rayleigh number reported in Drew et al. 1995. We have established this phenomenon cannot occur if entropy diffusion dominates over thermal diffusion in the heat equation. Since this is likely to be the case in even very weakly turbulent systems, it seem unlikely that the negative Rayleigh convection is of any great physical significance.

The asymptotic theory of convection developed in Jones et al. (2000) and Dormy et al. (2004) has been successfully extended to the compressible case in a natural way. Despite the fact that the Proudman-Taylor theorem does not strictly apply in a compressible fluid, the convection still forms tall thin columns in the limit \( E \to 0 \) if the other parameters remain fixed. This allows rapid exploration of the parameter space, and all the major effects reported here are found in the asymptotic theory, as well as by full numerical computation. At \( Pr \) about unity or greater there is excellent agreement between the asymptotic theory and the full numerics. However, there is a difficulty at low Prandtl number with the asymptotic theory when the convection first onsets in the interior of the fluid rather than at the tangent cylinder. In order to apply the asymptotic boundary condition that the disturbance vanishes as we both increase and decrease \( s \) from its critical location, it is necessary for the \( s \)-interval between the points where the anti-Stokes lines defined by (4.12) cut the real \( s \)-axis to lie entirely within the fluid. At low \( Pr \) this is often not the case, and then the boundary conditions at \( s = s_i, s_o \) matter even in the limit \( E \to 0 \). The correct procedure for obtaining the \( E \to 0 \) limit in this awkward case has not yet been fully worked out. Nevertheless, although precise values of \( Ra \) cannot be found at small \( E \), the general trends predicted by the asymptotic theory are still found at \( Pr = 0.1 \).

We have considered the antisymmetric modes as well as the symmetric modes, but we find that the symmetric modes are preferred in compressible convection, just as they are in Boussinesq convection (Busse, 1970). We also examined the higher order modes, to see how nonlinear convection is likely to spread into the tangent cylinder. We find that
at Rayleigh numbers a factor 10 higher than initial onset, modes both inside and outside the tangent cylinder are excited, both in Boussinesq and compressible convection.
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Appendix A. Boussinesq Limit $N_p \to 0$

We first consider the nonlinear equations (2.7) and (2.16) in the Boussinesq limit,
From (2.3), \( \zeta_0 \rightarrow 1, c_0 \rightarrow 1 \) and \( c_1 \rightarrow 0 \). From (2.2c), this implies
\[
\frac{g d \rho}{\rho} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and hence} \quad \frac{g d}{c_p T} \rightarrow 0,
\]
using the perfect gas law. In equation (2.7), the term \( \nabla (p_c/\rho) \) has order of magnitude \( p_c/\rho d \), while in the term
\[
\frac{g S_c}{c_p} = \frac{g}{\gamma} \left( \frac{p_c}{p} - \frac{\gamma p_c}{\rho} \right)
\]
the pressure perturbation part has order of magnitude \( gp_c/p \) which by (A1a) is negligibly small in comparison in the Boussinesq limit. The pressure fluctuation part in (A2) is therefore negligible in comparison to the density fluctuation part. Then from the gas law, \( \rho c/\rho \rightarrow -T_c/T \), so
\[
\frac{S_c}{c_p} = \frac{S}{c_p} \rightarrow \frac{T_c}{T} = \alpha T_c,
\]
where \( \alpha = 1/T \) is the coefficient of expansion. This can be extended to the case of a liquid provided \( \alpha \) is the appropriate coefficient of expansion (Anufriev et al., 2005). The nonlinear momentum equation therefore reduces to
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{\Omega} - 2 \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} - \nabla \left( \frac{p_c}{\rho} \right) - \nabla \left( \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{2} \right) + \nu \mathbf{F}_v - g \alpha T_c
\]
in the Boussinesq limit.

Since in the reference state \( \rho, p \) and \( T \) all tend to constant values in the Boussinesq limit, (A3) implies that the turbulent and molecular diffusion terms in the heat transport equation (2.16) have the same form as \( N_\rho \rightarrow 0 \). Furthermore, the viscous heating term involving \( Q \) is negligible in this limit since from the momentum equation \( S_c/c_p \) has the order of magnitude \( \nu u/gd^2 \), which implies that the viscous heating term in (2.16) is smaller than the advection term \( \rho T (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) S_c \) by a factor \( gd/c_p T \) which by (A1b) is very small in the Boussinesq limit. Since \( T_c \) and \( T \) differ only by a constant in the Boussinesq limit, the heat transport equation (2.16) becomes
\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) T = \nabla \cdot \kappa \nabla T,
\]
where here \( \kappa \) is the sum of the turbulent and molecular diffusivities. The constant entropy boundary conditions reduce to constant temperature boundary conditions from (A3).

For numerical solution, it is convenient to rewrite the linearised dimensionless entropy equation (2.22) in the form
\[
\left\{ \nabla^2 - P \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\} S' = \frac{\xi}{\rho} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{u} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_o} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) - \xi n + 1 \frac{\partial S'}{\partial r}.
\]
Here \( n \) is the polytropic index, \( \rho = \rho(r) \) is the density, \( \rho_o = \rho(r_o), \rho_1 = \rho(r_1) \). Compress-
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...ibility is involved in our equations mainly through the logarithmic derivative

\[ \xi = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dr} = -c_1 \frac{n}{\zeta r^2} \]  

(A7)

using (2.2a) and (2.2b). Now we show that the dimensionless linearised equations (2.22) and (2.24) reduce to the Boussinesq equations with the temperature fluctuation \( T' \) replacing the entropy fluctuation \( S' \) in the limit \( N_\rho \to 0 \). The following asymptotic formulae in this limit can be derived from (2.2) and (2.3),

\[ \zeta_0 = 1 - \frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \frac{N_\rho}{n} + O\left(N_\rho^2\right), \quad c_0 = 1 - \frac{2\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \frac{N_\rho}{n} + O\left(N_\rho^2\right), \]  

(A8a,b)

and

\[ c_1 = \frac{\eta}{(1 - \eta)^2} \frac{N_\rho}{n} + O\left(N_\rho^2\right), \quad \zeta(r) = 1 + \frac{\eta N_\rho}{n(1 - \eta)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2(1 - \eta)}{1 + \eta} \right] + O\left(N_\rho^2\right), \]  

(A9a,b)

\[ \xi(r) = \frac{nc_1}{r^2 \zeta} = -\frac{N_\rho}{r^2} \frac{\eta}{(1 - \eta)^2} + O\left(N_\rho^2\right). \]  

(A10)

From (A10) it is clear that the second term on the r.h.s. of (A6) becomes zero in the Boussinesq limit \( N_\rho \to 0 \). Using (A8) and (A9),

\[ \zeta^{-n}_0 = 1 + \frac{\eta N_\rho}{1 + \eta} + O\left(N_\rho^2\right), \quad \zeta^{-n}_i = 1 - \frac{N_\rho}{1 + \eta} + O\left(N_\rho^2\right), \]  

(A11a,b)

so the entropy equation (A6) reduces to

\[ Pr \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta \left( \hat{r} \cdot u \right)}{r^2 (1 - \eta)^2} + \nabla^2 T' \]  

(A12)

in the Boussinesq limit. Comparing with Dormy et al. (2004) equations (2.3a,b,d), we see that the differences between their model and ours are that the gravity term in our equation of motion is taken as \( \sim 1/r^2 \) instead of \( \sim r \), appropriate for a uniform sphere, and the differential heating case only is considered here. Also, the unit of entropy (temperature in the Boussinesq limit) has a factor of \( \eta/(1 - \eta)^2 \) different in its definition, so this factor must be included in the Rayleigh number definition.

In principle the first term in the r.h.s of equation (A12) can be written as

\[ \frac{\eta}{(1 - \eta)^2} \hat{r} \cdot u \left( \frac{1 - \lambda}{r^2} + \lambda r \right), \]

where parameter \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \) is the fraction of internal heating (cf. Dormy et al. 2004). However, we assume for our problem only differential heating, and so in this paper \( \lambda = 0 \).
Appendix B. The form of the numerical equations

The toroidal-poloidal decomposition (5.1) employed here implies that

\[
\mathbf{u} = \left[ \frac{L^2 \{ f \}}{r^2} \right] \hat{r} + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial e}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r \partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \xi \right] \hat{\theta} \\
+ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r \partial \phi} - \frac{\partial e}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \xi \right] \hat{\varphi},
\]

(B1)

where the ‘horizontal’ operator

\[
L^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}.
\]

(B2)

Then we apply curl and double-curl operators to our equation (2.24) and evaluate their radial parts by multiplying by \( \hat{r} \). For evaluation of the Coriolis terms, as \( \Omega = \Omega \hat{z} \), it is useful to introduce the operator

\[
Q \equiv -\cos \theta L^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{L^2}{r} \left\{ \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\}.
\]

(B3)

Then we can write

\[
\hat{r} \cdot \nabla \times (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{r^2} Q \{ f \} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial e}{\partial \phi} + \xi \left[ \frac{\cos \theta}{r^2} \frac{L^2}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] \{ f \},
\]

(B4)

and

\[
\hat{r} \cdot [(\nabla \times \hat{z}) \times \mathbf{u}] = \frac{1}{r^2} Q \{ e \} + \frac{1}{r^2} D^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} - \xi \left[ \frac{L^2}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \xi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \right) \right],
\]

(B5)

where

\[
D^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{L^2}{r^2}.
\]

(B6)

Then \( r^2 \hat{r} \cdot \text{curl} \) of the momentum equation (2.24) is

\[
L^2 \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} - L^2 D^2 \{ e \} + 2E^{-1} \left[ Q \{ f \} - \frac{\partial e}{\partial \phi} + \xi \left( -\cos \theta L^2 - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \{ f \} \right] = \xi r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{L^2}{r^2} \{ e \} \right),
\]

(B7)

and applying \( r^2 \hat{r} \cdot \text{curl} \) gives

\[
- L^2 D^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - L^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \xi \right) + L^2 D^4 \{ f \} + L^2 \left\{ \xi \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial r^3} + 3\xi^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} + 3\xi \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \xi^3 f \right\}
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{r^2} L^4 \left( \xi \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \xi f \right) + 2E^{-1} \left[ Q \{ e \} + D^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\xi}{r} L^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \xi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \right) \right] = Ra L^2 \left( \frac{S'}{r} \right) + F_v,
\]

(B8)
where the viscous term
\[
F_v = \frac{\xi}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{ \mathcal{L}^2 f \} + \left( \frac{2 \xi^2}{3 r^2} - \frac{2 \xi}{r} + \frac{\xi}{r^2} \right) \mathcal{L}^4 f - \xi \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r^3} \{ \mathcal{L}^2 f \} \\
+ \left( \frac{2 \xi}{r} - \xi^2 - \xi' \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \{ \mathcal{L}^2 f \} + \left( \frac{2 \xi^2}{r} - \frac{2 \xi}{r} + \frac{2 \xi'}{r} - 3 \xi \xi' \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{ \mathcal{L}^2 f \} \\
+ \left( \frac{4}{r} \xi \xi' - \frac{2 \xi^2}{r^2} - \xi'^2 - \xi \xi'' \right) \mathcal{L}^2 f,
\]
with
\[
\xi' = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial r}, \quad \xi'' = \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial r^2}, \quad \xi''' = \frac{\partial^3 \xi}{\partial r^3}.
\]
Finally, using expansion (5.1) for \( u \), the entropy equation (A6) reads
\[
\nabla^2 S' - Pr \frac{\partial S'}{\partial t} = \frac{\xi}{\rho} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_0^{1/n} - \rho_i^{1/n}} \right) \frac{\mathcal{L}^2}{r^2} \{ f \} - \xi \frac{n + 1}{n} \frac{\partial S'}{\partial r}.
\]
We use the governing equations in the above forms for the full numerical computations. In our code, we solve the linear problem by a spectral collocation method, using a representation of our solution by Chebyshev polynomials in the radial coordinate and associated Legendre polynomials in the \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) directions. Crucially, the Coriolis term only couples adjacent spherical harmonics, so the matrices have a banded structure. We evaluate eigenvalues by inverse iteration. Typically the radial resolution was 30-50 polynomials in both directions, the higher \( N_\rho \) using the highest resolution. Our code can solve the eigenvalue problem either for symmetric or antisymmetric modes.